TEAM NAME		*Insert Team Name*			
PRESENTATION SCORING					
SCORING LEGEND (1 - Needs Improvement; 2	- Satisfactory, 3 - Good, 4 - Exceptional)			
Creativity & Originality					
	4	3	2	1	SCORES
Innovation	Groundbreaking idea with exceptional originality and potential to disrupt the market	Unique idea and a significant improvement from existing solutions available in the market	Generic idea but adds minor enhancements to current solutions	Minimal originality, and effort to differentiate from current solutions	
Marketing	Original, well-researched marketing strategy proven to effectively engage target audience	Marketing effort is creative, and well structured, reaching target market	Marketing effort exists but lacks depth or does not effective engage target market	Marketing efforts are underdeveloped or non-existent	
	Creative and conveys value along with a value proposition				
Delivering Value	Delivers unique value not filled by other competitors in the market	Dynamic and delivers value along with a value proposition	Effective but lacks justification of value	Lacks justification of value	
Comments [OPTIONAL]:			-		
. ,					
Feasibility & Effectiveness					
	4	3	2	1	SCORES
Real-world potential	Demonstrates outstanding potential to succeed in the real world and provides clear path for scalability	Potential succeeding in the real-world, with feasible path for growth	Little potential succeeding in the real world, or plan for scalability is infeasible or not well thought out	No potential of succeeding in the real world, with no consideration for growth	
Mitigation of Risks	Potential risks address comprehensively with detailed mitigation strategies to manage and minimize them	Key risks clearly identified with mitigation strategies identified to reduce them	Some risks identified, but does not properly address mitigation strategies	No acknowledgement of risks or mitigation	
Profitability	The business demonstrates outstanding profitability with well-presented financial breakdown, sustainable margins, and impressive profit generation.	The business shows strong profitability with costs justified, sustainable margins, and substantial profit generated	The business has generated profit, but costs lack proper justification, or lacks profitable margins, impairing financial clarity	Financial breakdown was insufficient or non-existent; or no profit was generated by the business	
	Students effecitvely address significant challenges with innovative and well-	Students clearly acknowledged their challenges and provided practical solutions	Some challenges acknowledged but provides little evidence of impact or does	Students failed to acknowledge	
Challenges Tackled	thought out solutions	to how they overcame them	not mention how they overcame them	challenges and lack results of impact	
Comments [OPTIONAL]:					
Level of Social Impact					
-	4	3	2	1	SCORES
Theme Alignment	Strong alginment with the theme and addresses multiple elements of the theme or takes an innovative approach	Clearly addressed the chosen element of the theme and was integrated well	Theme was followed but not well-integrated or lacked coherence of specific focus	Theme was not followed	
Identified Problem Impact	Clearly identified a need in the market for product that links a global issue. Chose a problem not commonly addressed by other businesses	Clearly identifies a market need for the product and demonstrates a solid connection to a global issue	Identified a problem solved by the business, but does not show a relation to a market need or global issue	Failure to identify a problem or a market need for their product	
Solution (Business Product/Service) Impact	The business effectively identifies a significant market need for the solution and provides a thorough and innovative connection to a global issue	Clearly identifies a market need for the solution and demonstrates a solid connection to a global issue	Identification of a market need and global issue for the solution is present but lacks depth; or business fails to address one of the components clearly	Failure to identify how the business's solution addresses a clear market need and a relevant global issue	
Comments [OPTIONAL]:					
	1	I.	I .	1	I.

Presentation & Professionalism					
1 reservation at refessionalism	4	3	2	1	SCORES
	Visual aids are flawless in design, creative, and left a long lasting impression on the audience	Presentation is highly well-made with supporting visuals or graphics effectively convey key insights and understandings	Presentation is moderately effective at conveying key points and some supporting visuals are shown	Presentation is underwhelming, with visual aids either confusing or not shown	333123
Overall Quality	Presentation completed within the time limit	Presentation completed within the time limit	Presentation is partially completed within the time limit (need to be cut off)	Presentation is not completed within the time limit - continued after cut off	0
Clarity and Flow	Presentation has excellent strucutre, easy to follow and information conveyed is impactful to the audience	Presentation is clear and easy to follow along with logical flow between sections	Presentation lacks some clarity, some difficulty following along, transitions may be smoother	Presentation is poorly structured with disjointed flow and difficulty understand key points	0
Professionalism	Presentation goes above and beyond to ensure professionalism through every interaction with judges	Presentation uses professional language, has good posture, and demonstrates business-acumen	Presentation is professional but lacks formality or demonstrates lack of knowledge	Presentation lacks professionalism overall	C
Audience Engagement	Presentation is dynamic and interactive	Presentation is consistently engaging and presentation is easily understandable	Presentation is occasionally engaging at times	Presentation is dull and minimal effort is shown to engage the audience	0
Comments [OPTIONAL]:					
Q&A		-	-		
	4	3	2	1	SCORES
	Responses are insightful, demonstrating a				
Response Quality	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well- articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples.	Responses to questions are structured and demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response	Responses are adequate but lacks demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague	Responses demonstrate little product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear	0
	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when	0
	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]:	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]:	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation]	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation] FEEBACK (MANDATORY)	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared	0 0 0
Team Dynamics Comments [OPTIONAL]: TOTAL [presentation] FEEBACK (MANDATORY) BUSINESS PROPOSAL SCORING	thorough understanding of the product/service. Answers are well-articulated, and directly address the questions with relevant details and examples. The team maintained high energy and professionalism. All questions answered with confidence. Team worked together seamlessly, with no single member answering the brunt of the questions	demonstate strong product/service knowledge, additional context could have been given to enhance response The team worked together to maintain consistent energy and professionalism during the question period, continued to engage the audience	demonstration of knowledge or answer given is quite vague The team occassionally showed enthusiasm when answering questions, may appear uncoordinated or unprepared at times of the Q&A session	product/service knowledge, answers may be incomplete or unclear The team lacks enthusiasm when answering the questions, and appeared unprepared	0 0 0 SCORES

Clearly demonstrates the feasibility and viability of the business lea, addressing potential challenges and risks. Presents realize its A fundamentally strong basic financial projections, including net income, startup costs and treaks even enables. Provides a basic assessment of feasibility and viability but lacks depth or detail. Fails to adequately address the feasibility and viability but lacks depth or detail. Fails to adequately address the feasibility and viability but lacks depth or detail. Fails to adequately address the feasibility and viability but lacks depth or detail. Fails to provide a basic assessment of feasibility and viability but lacks depth or detail. Fails to provide a basic assessment of feasibility and viability but lacks depth or detail. Fails to provide a department of the business to propose a large shows the can always. Fails to provide clear and realistic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some rea						
Presents realistic & fundamentally strong basic financial projections, including net income, startup costs and break-even analysis. Detailedly illustrates with nuance how the business proposal aligns with social impact analysis. Connection to Theme (Possible frameworks: UN SDGs) SEE Model, Circular Economy) The proposal is well-organized, visually appealing, and free of errors. It follows a logical structure with appropriate headings and formatting. TOTAL [Dusiness proposal] Presentation Deductions: Assessed by the Ennovate Team Memorization Professionalism Deductions - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions - 2 points for 10 tail presenters are in the room during their pict him (may be waived in given adequate notice) Late Team Arrival Presentation and Income analysis. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Offers basic financial projections with some realism but lacks detail. Falls to dearly illustrate with some projects lack and sustainability and social impact business proposal alternative business proposal in protections. The proposal is poorly organized, visually business proposal inspect to a proposal is poorly organized, vi		Fails to adequately address the feasibility	Provides a basic assessment of feasibility			
basic financial projections, including net income, startup costs and break-even analysis. Detailedly illustrates with nuance how the business proposal aligns with social impact of financial projections. Detailedly illustrates with nuance how the business proposal aligns with social impact of financial projections. Detailedly illustrates with nuance how the business proposal aligns with social impact of start of the pusiness proposal aligns with social impact of start of the pusiness proposal in projections. The proposal is well-organized, visually appealing, and free of errors. It follows a logical structure with appropriate headings and formatting. Presentation and Format TOTAL [business proposal] FEBACK (MANDATORY) Presentation Deductions: Assessed by the Ennovate Team Memorization 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and soript - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions 3 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late stilds submissions 2 points fin not all projections with some realism but lacks detail. Falls to clearly illustrate how the business proposal impact sustainability and social impact the business to sustainability and social impact the proposal is somewhat organized and solical structure with appropriate headings and mostly free four in most proposal is connection of their business to sustainability and social impact the proposal is somewhat organized and solical structure with appropriate headings and formatting. The proposal is somewhat organized and solical structure with appropriate headings and formatting. The proposal is somewhat organized and solical structure with appropriate headings and formatting. The proposal signs with sustainability and social impact the proposal is somewhat organized and solical structure with appropriate headings and formatting. The proposal is volunting and social impact the proposal is somewhat organized and solical structure with appropriat	0	and viability of the business idea.	and viability but lacks depth or detail.	risks.	potential challenges and risks.	Feasibility and Viability
business proposal aligns with social impact to theme (Possible frameworks: UN SDGs, SEE Model, Circular Economy) The proposal is well-organized, visually appealing, and free of errors. It follows a logical structure with appropriate headings and formatting. TOTAL [business proposal] FEEBACK (MANDATORY) Presentation Deductions: Assessed by the Ennovate Team Memorization - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions - 1 point per imfraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime Late Side submissions Late Team Arrival Dissipated in the business proposal aligns with social impact the business proposal through a sustainability through a sustainability proposal is porganized, visually clear, and mostly reference, the proposal is somewhat organized and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is somewhat organized and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing, and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing, and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing, and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing, and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing, and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing, and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing, and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing, and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealin	0			realistic estimates and a break-even	basic financial projections, including net income, startup costs and break-even	Financial Projections
appealing, and free of errors. It follows a logical structure with appropriate headings and formatting. The proposal is somewhat organized and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors. TOTAL [business proposal] FEEBACK (MANDATORY) Presentation Deductions: Assessed by the Ennovate Team Memorization - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances - 1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte - 1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late Sides submissions - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice) The proposal is somewhat organized and visually suspended visually suspended visually suspended and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is somewhat organized and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is somewhat organized and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is somewhat organized and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is somewhat organized and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is somewhat organized and visually clear but may contain some grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing and contains numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The proposal is poorly organized, visually unappealing and contains numerous gra	0	proposal aligns with sustainability and	Provides a basic connection of their	the business proposal through a	business proposal aligns with social impact and sustainability through a sustainability	
Presentation Deductions: Assessed by the Ennovate Team Memorization -2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions -1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime -1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions -2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival -2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)	0	unappealing, and contains numerous	visually clear but may contain some	and mostly free of errors. It follows a logical structure with appropriate headings	appealing, and free of errors. It follows a logical structure with appropriate headings	Presentation and Format
Presentation Deductions: Assessed by the Ennovate Team Memorization - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions - 1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime - 1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions - 2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)	0					TOTAL [business proposal]
Memorization - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions - 1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime - 1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions - 2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)						FEEBACK (MANDATORY)
Memorization - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions - 1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime - 1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions - 2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)						
Memorization - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions - 1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime - 1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions - 2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)						
Memorization - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions - 1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime - 1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions - 2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)						
Memorization - 2 points for overeliance on cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances Professionalism Deductions - 1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime - 1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions - 2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)						
Professionalism Deductions -1 point per infraction: unprofessional attire, inappropriate language used in presentation and Q+A, unprofessional audience ettiquettte Overtime -1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions -2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival -2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)					vate Team	Presentation Deductions: Assessed by the Enno
Overtime -1 point per minute of overtime (timer will cut you off, overtime clock will begin if Q+A runs over 3 - minute period) Late slide submissions -2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival -2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)		cue cards and script - only applied during extreme circumstances			- 2 points for overeliance on cue cards a	Memorization
Late slide submissions - 2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc) Late Team Arrival - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)		e ettiquettte	entation and Q+A, unprofessional audience	ttire, inappropriate language used in pres	- 1 point per infraction: unprofessional a	Professionalism Deductions
Late Team Arrival - 2 points if not all presenters are in the room during their pitch time (may be waived if given adequate notice)			Q+A runs over 3 - minute period)	ill cut you off, overtime clock will begin if	- 1 point per minute of overtime (timer w	Overtime
		uating circumstances, etc)	by case basis (technical difficulties, exten	ssion deadline, may be waived on a case	- 2 points per 12 hour period after subm	Late slide submissions
Pusings Proposal Deductions: Accessed by the Engagete Team			ed if given adequate notice)	room during their pitch time (may be waiv	- 2 points if not all presenters are in the	Late Team Arrival
business Proposal Deductions. Assessed by the Enfloyate Team					Ennovate Team	Business Proposal Deductions: Assessed by the
Page Length - 2 points: proposal is not one-page long					- 2 points: proposal is not one-page long	Page Length
Late proposal submissions - 2 points per 12 hour period after submission deadline, may be waived on a case by case basis (technical difficulties, extenuating circumstances, etc)		uating circumstances, etc)	by case basis (technical difficulties, exten	ssion deadline, may be waived on a case	- 2 points per 12 hour period after subm	Late proposal submissions
TOTAL [Deductions] 0					0	TOTAL [Deductions]
TOTAL TEAM SCORE 0 /84		/84	0			TOTAL TEAM SCORE